

HTGR Unit Fuel Pebble k -infinity Results Using Chord Length Sampling, invited

T.J. Donovan
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Schenectady, NY

Y. Danon
Mechanical, Aerospace, and Nuclear Engineering Department
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY
danony@rpi.edu

INTRODUCTION

There is considerable interest in transport models that will permit the simulation of neutral particle transport through stochastic mixtures. Chord length sampling techniques that simulate particle transport through binary stochastic mixtures consisting of spheres randomly arranged in a matrix have been implemented in several Monte Carlo Codes [1-3]. Though the use of these methods is growing, the accuracy and efficiency of these methods has not yet been thoroughly demonstrated for an application of particular interest – a high temperature gas reactor (HTGR) fuel pebble element.

This paper presents comparison results of k_{∞} calculations performed on a LEUPRO-1 pebble cell. Results are generated using a chord length sampling method implemented in a test version of MCNP version 4C [3]. This Limited Chord Length Sampling (LCLS) method eliminates the need to model the details of the micro-heterogeneity of the pebble. Results are also computed for an explicit pebble model where the TRISO fuel particles within the pebble are randomly distributed. Finally, the heterogeneous matrix region of the pebble cell is homogenized based simply on volume fractions. These three results are compared to results reported by Johnson *et al.* [4], and duplicated here, using a cubic lattice representation of the TRISO fuel particles. Figures of Merit for the four k_{∞} calculations are compared to judge relative efficiencies.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK

LEUPRO-1 Fuel Pebble Model

The model is the LEUPRO-1 pebble spherical unit cell described and analyzed by Johnson *et al.* [4]. This unit cell was originally presented as a numerical benchmark for

code/method intercomparisons. The material compositions for this pebble cell are well described in [4] and will not be reproduced here.

The pebble unit cell model has three concentric spherical shells. The outermost shell has an outer radius of 3.7959 cm with a diffuse (white) outer boundary condition. The outermost shell is homogenized coolant and graphite and represents the fuel ball surroundings. The next region has an outer radius of 3.0 cm and is comprised of graphite. The innermost region has an outer radius of 2.5 cm. This region is a graphite matrix containing 9394 UO_2 fuel kernels that are spheres of radius 0.04577 cm. Each fuel kernel possesses its own microstructure of 5 concentric spherical shells. The MCNP model used in [4] treats the matrix region of the fuel pebble as a 3-D array of cubic cells 0.191 cm on a side. Each cubic cell contains a fuel kernel at its center.

Explicit Model

An explicit model of the LEUPRO-1 pebble cell was created to obtain another benchmark to compare against LCLS results. The true statistical distribution of fuel kernels was unknown and the random distribution of kernels in the matrix was created by the Random Sequential Addition method [5]. The 9394 fuel kernels were placed successively in random positions but were not permitted to overlap the matrix boundary or other kernels that had been previously placed.

Analysis was based on only a single realization of the fuel kernel distribution in the pebble model, rather than on an ensemble-average of the results of multiple realizations. This reflects an assumption that the quantity of interest, in this case k_{∞} , does not change significantly with different realizations.

LCLS Model

The LCLS pebble model contained only the 3 shell regions, with no detail of the fuel kernel/matrix heterogeneity. A single representative fuel kernel with its five concentric shells was defined outside of the fuel pebble. Transport through the matrix was simulated by randomly sampling fuel kernel surface crossings. If a random interface crossing was sampled, then the neutron was transported explicitly through the representative fuel kernel. Upon escape from the fuel kernel, the neutron was placed in the proper matrix location and no memory of the fuel particle was maintained.

The LCLS model assumes that the pdf of chords between fuel kernels has the form

$$p(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{\lambda}$ is the average chord length in the matrix material. Based on a method described in [3,6], $\bar{\lambda}$ was determined empirically for this model to be 0.9154 cm.

RESULTS

Table I shows the k_{∞} results for the four cases. Also shown are the Figure of Merit (FOM) ratios for each case. The FOM is a measurement of tally efficiency and is defined as the inverse of the product of the relative uncertainty (R) squared and the time spent computing the tally (T).

$$FOM = \frac{1}{R^2 T}, \quad (2)$$

The Figure of Merit ratio for a given case is defined as the FOM for that case divided by the FOM for the explicit case.

TABLE I. Summary of Results.

Model	k_{∞} ¹	FOM Ratio ²
Explicit	1.7261 (6)	1
Lattice [4]	1.723 (3)	NA
Lattice	1.7233 (6)	15.1
LCLS	1.7256 (6)	43.6
Homogenized	1.6363 (7)	57.9

1 1 standard deviation uncertainty on last digit in parentheses

The lattice model of [4] was recreated to verify the model information, and both lattice results are in close agreement. The model with a homogenized matrix region underpredicts k_{∞} by

8%. This result is consistent with a similar comparison made by Gurevich [2] and illustrates how poorly simple homogenization works for this model.

The explicit case and the LCLS case agree within statistics, but both are greater than the lattice result by approximately 0.003. LCLS and the lattice model both simplify the true kernel distribution and it may be that the lattice model and the LCLS model bound the true k_{∞} . Since both models are approximations, if the difference between them is acceptable, then the LCLS method may be attractive due to its simplicity and speed advantage over the lattice model. It should be noted that some of the efficiency advantage of LCLS is due to the fact that the explicit and lattice models could in principle generate more information and pay some overhead for that capability when the only calculated quantity is k_{∞} .

REFERENCES

1. I. Murata *et al.*, "New Sampling Method in Continuous Energy Monte Carlo Calculation for Pebble Bed Reactors," *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*, Vol 34, No 8, p. 734-744 (1997).
2. M.I. Gurevich *et al.*, "The Neutrons Flux Density Calculations by Monte Carlo Code for The Double Heterogeneity Fuel," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Reactor Physics and Reactor Computations*, Tel-Aviv, 1994, p. 190-196
3. T.J. Donovan, Y. Danon, "Implementation of Chord Length Sampling for Transport Through a Binary Stochastic Mixture", *Proceedings of the American Nuclear Society Topical Meeting in Mathematics & Computations*, Gatlinburg, TN, April 2003
4. J.R. Johnson, *et al.*, "Burnup Reactivity and Isotopics of an HTGR Fuel Pebble", *Transactions of the American Nuclear Society (ANS)*85, (2001) : 273-4
5. S. Torquato, "Random Heterogeneous Materials: Microstructure and Macroscopic Properties", Springer-Verlag New York, 2002
6. T.J. Donovan, Y. Danon, "Application of Monte Carlo Chord-Length Sampling Algorithms to Transport Through a Two-Dimensional Binary Stochastic Mixture", *Nuclear Science & Engineering*, **143**, pp. 1-14, (2003)